

**DECISIONS DETERMINING THAT CERTIFICATION OF CLASSES IN
ERISA COMPANY STOCK CASES IS APPROPRIATE**

Spano v. Boeing Co., 294 F.R.D. 114, 127 (S.D. Ill. 2013) (certifying class and subclasses pursuant to Rule 23(b)(1)(A) and 23(b)(1)(B)).

Knight v. Lavine, No. 12-cv-611, 2013 WL 427880, at *5 (E.D. Va. Feb. 4, 2013) (finding certification appropriate under Rule 23(b)(1) and Rule 23(b)(2)).

Yost v. First Horizon Nat. Corp., No. 08-cv-2293, 2011 WL 2182262, at *13-*14 (W.D. Tenn. June 3, 2011) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) and 23(b)(1)(B)).

In re YRC Worldwide, Inc. ERISA Litig., No. 09-cv-2593, 2011 WL 1303367, at *8-*12 (D. Kan. Apr. 6, 2011) (certifying class under Rule 23(b)(1)(B)).

Shanehchian v. Macy's, Inc., No. 07-cv-00828, 2011 WL 883659, at *10 (S.D. Ohio Mar. 10, 2011) (conditionally certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) and 23(b)(1)(B)).

Harris v. Koenig, 271 F.R.D. 383, 396 (D.D.C. 2010) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) and 23(b)(1)(B)).

Taylor v. ANB Bancshares, Inc., No. 08-cv-5170, 2010 WL 4627841, at *13 (W.D. Ark. Oct. 18, 2010) (Magistrate Judge Report and Recommendation recommending certification under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) and 23(b)(1)(B)), adopted by District Court, No. 08-cv-5170, 2010 WL 4627672 (W.D. Ark. Nov. 4, 2010)).

George v. Kraft Foods Global, 270 F.R.D. 355, 369 (ND. Ill. 2010) (certifying class under Rule 23(b)(1)(B)).

Hans v. Tharaldson, No. 05-cv-115, 2010 WL 1856267, at *10 (D.N.D. May 7, 2010) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) and 23(b)(1)(B)).

Moore v. Comcast Corp., 268 F.R.D. 530, 538 (E.D. Pa. 2010) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(B)).

Stanford v. Foamex, L.P., 263 F.R.D. 156, 175 (E.D. Pa. 2009) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)).

In re Nortel Networks, Corp. "ERISA" Litig., No. 03-md-01537, 2009 WL 3294827, at *14-*16 (M.D. Tenn. Sept. 2, 2009) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(B) and 23(b)(1)(A)).

Jones v. NovaStar Fin., Inc., 257 F.R.D. 181, 194 (W.D. Mo. 2009) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)).

In re Merck & Co., Inc. Securities, Derivative & "ERISA" Litig., MDL No. 1658, 2009 WL 331426, at *10-*12 (D.N.J. Feb. 10, 2009) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(B) and Rule 23(b)(1)(A)).

Lyell v. Farmers Group Inc. Employees' Pension Plan, No. 07-cv-1576, 2008 WL 5111113, at *7 (D. Ariz. Dec. 3, 2008) (granting certification of class pursuant to Rule 23(b)(1)).

Kanawi v. Bechtel Corp., 254 F.R.D. 102, 111 (N.D. Cal. 2008) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(A)).

Shirk v. Fifth Third Bancorp, No. 05-cv-049, 2008 WL 4425535, at *5 (S.D. Ohio Sept. 30, 2008) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(B)).

Beesley v. Int'l Paper Co., No. 06-cv-00703, 2008 WL 4450319, at *9 (S.D. Ill. Sept. 30, 2008) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)).

Tatum v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., 254 F.R.D. 59, at 66-67 (M.D.N.C. 2008) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(B)).

Alvidres v. Countrywide Financial Corp., No. 07-cv-5810, 2008 WL 1766927, at *3 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 16, 2008) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(A)).

Tussey v. ABB, Inc., No. 06-cv-4305, 2007 WL 4289694, at *9 (W.D. Mo. Dec. 3, 2007) (finding certification of a class of plaintiffs appropriate under FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(1)(A) and (B)).

Brieger v. Tellabs, Inc., 245 F.R.D. 345, 356-57 (N.D. Ill. 2007) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)).

Lively v. Dynegy, Inc., No. 05-cv-0063, 2007 WL 685861, at *14-*16 (S.D. Ill. Mar. 2, 2007) (certifying prudence claim under Rule 23(b)(1)(B)).

Eslava v. Gulf Telephone, Co., No. 04-cv-00297, 2007 WL 2298222, at *6 (S.D. Ala. Aug. 7, 2007) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(B)).

Loomis v. Exelon Corp., No. 06-cv-4900, 2007 WL 2981951, at *4 (N.D. Ill. June 26, 2007) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(B)).

Baker v. Kingsley, No. 03-cv-1750, 2007 WL 1597654, at *5 (N.D. Ill. May 31, 2007) (certifying class under Rule 23(b)(1)).

Smith v. Aon Corp., 238 F.R.D. 609, 618 (N.D. Ill. 2006) (certifying under Rules 23(b)(1) and 23(b)(2)).

In re Polaroid ERISA Litig., 240 F.R.D. 65, 78 (S.D.N.Y. 2006) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)).

In re AOL Time Warner ERISA Litig., No. 02-cv-8853, 2006 WL 2789862, at *4 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 27, 2006) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(B)).

In re Tyco Int'l Ltd., No. 02-md-1335, 2006 WL 2349338, at *7-*8 (D.N.H. Aug. 15, 2006) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(B)).

Colesberry v. Ruiz Food Products, Inc., No. 04-cv-5516, 2006 WL 1875444, at *5 (E.D. Cal. June 30, 2006) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(B)).

In re Aquila ERISA Litig., 237 F.R.D. 202, 213 (W.D. Mo. 2006) (certifying under Rule 23(B)(1)(A)).

In re Enron Corp. Sec., Derivative & "ERISA" Litig., MDL No. 1446, 2006 WL 1662596, at *13-*19 (S.D. Tex. June 7, 2006) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) and 23(b)(1)(B), and in the alternative, under Rule 23(b)(3)).

Rogers v. Baxter, Int'l., No. 04-cv-6476, 2006 WL 794734, at *8-*11 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 22, 2006) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) and 23(b)(1)(B)).

DiFelice v. U.S. Airways, Inc., 235 F.R.D. 70, 80 (E.D. Va. 2006) (finding certification of the proposed class appropriate under Rule 23(b)(1)).

In re Aquila ERISA Litig., 237 F.R.D. 202, 213 (W.D. Mo. 2006) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(A)).

Kirse v. McCullough, No. 04-cv-1067, 2005 WL 3302008, at *3 (W.D. Mo. Dec. 5, 2005) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(2)).

In re: ADC Telecoms., Inc., No. 03-cv-2989, 2005 WL 2250782, at *4-*5 (D. Minn. Sept. 15, 2005) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)).

In re Williams Co. ERISA Litig., 231 F.R.D. 416, 424-25 (N.D. Okla. 2005) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) and 23(b)(1)(B), and in the alternative, under Rule 23(b)(2)).

In re Syncor ERISA Litig., 227 F.R.D. 338, 346 (C.D. Cal. 2005) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(10)(B)).

In re Enron Corp. Sec., Derivative, & ERISA Litig., 228 F.R.D. 541, 556 (S.D. Tex. 2005) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) and 23(b)(1)(B)).

Baker v. Comprehensive Employee Solutions, 227 F.R.D. 354, 360 (D. Utah 2005) (finding certification appropriate under both (b)(1)(A) and (b)(1)(B)).

Godshall v. Franklin Mont Co., No. 01-cv-6539, 2004 WL 2745890, at *3 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 1, 2004) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(B)).

In re Global Crossing Sec. & ERISA Litig., 225 F.R.D. 436, 453 (S.D.N.Y. 2004) (certifying under Rules 23(b)(1) and 23(b)(2)).

In re CMS Energy ERISA Litig., 225 F.R.D. 539, 545-46 (E.D. Mich. 2004) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) and 23(b)(1)(B)).

Rankin v. Rots, 220 F.R.D. 511, 522-23 (E.D. Mich. 2004) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) and 23(b)(1)(B)).

In re WorldCom Inc. ERISA Litig., No. 02-cv-4816, 2004 WL 2211664, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 4, 2004) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)(B)).

Furstenau v. AT&T Corp., No. 02-cv-5409, 2004 WL 5582592, at *4 (D.N.J. Sept. 2, 2004) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)).

In re Electronic Data Sys. Corp. ERISA Litig., 224 F.R.D. 613, 628-30 (E.D. Tex. 2004) (certifying prudence claims under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) and (B) and Rule 23(b)(2)).

Nelson v. IPALCO Enter. Inc., No. 02-cv-477, 2003 WL 23101792, at *10-*15 (S.D. Ind. Sept. 30, 2003) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(3)).

Kolar v. Rite Aid Corp., No. 01-cv-1229, 2003 WL 1257272, at *3 (E.D. Pa. Mar. 11, 2003) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)).

Babcock v. Computer Associates Int'l., Inc., 212 F.R.D. 126, 131-32 (E.D.N.Y. 2003) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1) and Rule 23(b)(3)).

Koch v. Dwyer, No. 98-cv-5519, 2001 WL 289972, at *4-*5 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 23, 2001) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)).

In re Ikon Office Solutions, Inc., 191 F.R.D. 457, 466-67 (E.D. Pa. 2000) (certifying under Rule 23(b)(1)).